# Inhomogeneous cosmology research plans

Inhomogeneous Cosmology II+III participants

Kraków

16–21 September 2018

wiki: https://cosmo.torun.pl/Cosmo/InhomCosmIII

#### Context

► ΛCDM: DE = high scientific priority

### Context

► ΛCDM: DE = high scientific priority

• observations of the 2020s: Euclid, SKA, 4MOST, DESI, LSST: few  $\times 10^7$  galaxy spectra over  $\sim 3\pi$  ster up to  $z \sim 1$ –1.5 + many more photometric-redshift galaxies

### **Aims**

- ▶ DE @order-unity
  - does structure-formation—induced recently-emerged negative average curvature in pure  $GR \Rightarrow DE = artefact$ ?

### **Aims**

- DE @order-unity
  - does structure-formation—induced recently-emerged negative average curvature in pure  $GR \Rightarrow DE = artefact$ ?

- ▶ 1% effect?
  - Is a non-perturbative inhomogeneous metric observationally significant at  $\sim 1\%$  level?

### Methods

▶ theory: analytical

## Methods

theory: analytical

theory: numerical

## Methods

theory: analytical

▶ theory: numerical

observations

observations: physical/social/political telescope projects

- observations: physical/social/political telescope projects
- published literature: theory + observations

- observations: physical/social/political telescope projects
- published literature: theory + observations
- analytical, numerical software: both non-free and free-software (FLOSS) packages

- observations: physical/social/political telescope projects
- published literature: theory + observations
- analytical, numerical software: both non-free and free-software (FLOSS) packages
- people:

- observations: physical/social/political telescope projects
- published literature: theory + observations
- analytical, numerical software: both non-free and free-software (FLOSS) packages
- people:
  - Marseille May 2018: mostly analytical and numerical, few observational, several ΛCDM-observational

- observations: physical/social/political telescope projects
- published literature: theory + observations
- analytical, numerical software: both non-free and free-software (FLOSS) packages
- people:
  - Marseille May 2018: mostly analytical and numerical, few observational, several ΛCDM-observational
  - Sextens July 2018: some analytical, several numerical, several \CDM-observational (SKA)

- observations: physical/social/political telescope projects
- published literature: theory + observations
- analytical, numerical software: both non-free and free-software (FLOSS) packages
- people:
  - Marseille May 2018: mostly analytical and numerical, few observational, several ΛCDM-observational
  - Sextens July 2018: some analytical, several numerical, several ACDM-observational (SKA)
  - Kraków Sep 2018: mostly analytical, few numerical, almost no observational



► CosmoTorun17:

- CosmoTorun17:
  - ► newsletter: https://cosmo.torun.pl/inhom running :)

#### CosmoTorun17:

- newsletter: https://cosmo.torun.pl/inhom running :)
- white paper: https://overleaf.com/16937394rvbwybqsvgjp or git clone https://git.overleaf.com/16937394rvbwybqsvgjp
   started: Ostrowski, Roukema, Bolejko [more in Wed. talk]

- CosmoTorun17:
  - ► newsletter: https://cosmo.torun.pl/inhom running :)
  - white paper: https://overleaf.com/16937394rvbwybqsvgjp or git clone https://git.overleaf.com/16937394rvbwybqsvgjp
    started: Ostrowski, Roukema, Bolejko [more in Wed. talk]
- OK to send list of all our emails to all of us?

- CosmoTorun17:
  - newsletter: https://cosmo.torun.pl/inhom running :)
  - white paper: https://overleaf.com/16937394rvbwybqsvgjp or git clone https://git.overleaf.com/16937394rvbwybqsvgjp
    started: Ostrowski, Roukema, Bolejko [more in Wed. talk]
- OK to send list of all our emails to all of us?
- What else? Is the white paper a big/important enough task? InhomCosmIIIRoadMap